ON RAYLEIGH SCATTERING IN NON-RELATIVISTIC QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS

JÉRÉMY FAUPIN AND ISRAEL MICHAEL SIGAL

ABSTRACT. In this note we provide details of the proof of the results of [19] (minimal photon velocity bounds and – under certain implicit conditions – asymptotic completeness below the ionization threshold, i.e. for Rayleigh scattering) for the standard model of non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics, mentioned in that paper.

1. Introduction

In this note we provide details of the proofs of the main results of [19] to the standard model of non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics in which particles are minimally coupled to the quantized electromagnetic field at energies below the ionization threshold. Recall that in [19] we proved several lower bounds on the growth of the distance of the escaping photons/phonons to the particle system. Using some of these results, we proved asymptotic completeness (for Rayleigh scattering) on the states for which the expectation of the photon/phonon number is bounded uniformly in time. However, we provided details only for the phonon case.

Model and assumptions. We consider the standard model of non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics in which particles are minimally coupled to the quantized electromagnetic field. The state space for this model is given by $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{H}_p is the particle state space, \mathcal{F} is the bosonic Fock space, $\mathcal{F} \equiv \Gamma(\mathfrak{h}) := \mathbb{C} \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \otimes_s^n \mathfrak{h}$, based on the one-photon space $\mathfrak{h} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ (\otimes_s^n stands for the symmetrized tensor product of n factors, \mathbb{C}^2 accounts for the photon polarization). Its dynamics is generated by the hamiltonian

$$H := \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2m_j} \left(-i\nabla_{x_j} - \kappa_j A_{\xi}(x_j) \right)^2 + U(x) + H_f.$$
 (1.1)

Here, m_j and x_j , $j=1,\ldots,n$, are the ('bare') particle masses and the particle positions, U(x), $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$, is the total potential affecting the particles, and κ_j are coupling constants related to the particle charges. Moreover, $A_{\xi} := \check{\xi} * A$, where ξ is an ultraviolet cut-off satisfying e.g. $|\partial^m \xi(k)| \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-3}$, |m|=0,1,2, and A(y) is the quantized vector potential in the Coulomb gauge (div A(y)=0), describing the quantized electromagnetic field and given by

$$A_{\xi}(y) := \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}k}{\sqrt{2\omega(k)}} \xi(k) \varepsilon_{\lambda}(k) \left(e^{ik \cdot y} a_{\lambda}(k) + e^{-ik \cdot y} a_{\lambda}^{*}(k) \right).$$

Here, $\omega(k) = |k|$ denotes the photon dispersion relation (k is the photon wave vector), λ is the polarization, and $a_{\lambda}(k)$ and $a_{\lambda}^{*}(k)$ are photon annihilation and creation operators acting on the Fock space \mathcal{F} (see Supplement I for the definition). The operator H_f is the quantum hamiltonian of the quantized electromagnetic field, describing the dynamics of the latter, given by $H_f := d\Gamma(\omega)$, where $d\Gamma(\tau)$ denotes the lifting of a one-photon operator τ to the photon Fock space, $d\Gamma(\tau)|_{\mathbb{C}} = 0$ for n = 0 and, for $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\tau)_{|\otimes_s^n\mathfrak{h}} := \sum_{j=1}^n \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{j-1} \otimes \tau \otimes \underbrace{1 \otimes \cdots \otimes 1}_{n-j}. \tag{1.2}$$

(See Supplement I for definitions and for the expression of $d\Gamma(\tau)$ in terms of the creation and annihilation operators. Here and in what follows, the integrals without indication of the domain of integration are taken over entire \mathbb{R}^3 .)

1

This model goes back to the early days of quantum mechanics (it appears in the review [21] as a well-known model and is elaborated in an important way in [53]); its rigorous analysis was pioneered in [22, 23] (see [56, 62] for extensive references).

We assume that $U(x) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^{3n})$ and is either confining or relatively bounded with relative bound 0 w.r.t. $-\Delta_x$, so that the particle hamiltonian $H_p := -\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2m_j} \Delta_{x_j} + U(x)$, and therefore the total hamiltonian H, are self-adjoint. Hence H generates the dynamics through the Schrödinger equation,

$$i\partial_t \psi_t = H\psi_t. \tag{1.3}$$

As initial conditions, ψ_0 , we consider states below the ionization threshold Σ (i.e. ψ_0 in the range of the spectral projection $E_{(-\infty,\Sigma)}(H)$), which is the (largest) energy $\Sigma > \inf \sigma(H_p) \ge \inf \sigma(H)$, below which the particle system is well localized:

$$\|\langle p \rangle^2 e^{\delta |x|} f(H)\| \lesssim 1,\tag{1.4}$$

for any $0 \le \delta < \text{dist}(\text{supp } f, \Sigma)$ and any $f \in C_0^{\infty}((-\infty, \Sigma))$, where $\langle p \rangle := (1 + |p|^2)^{1/2}$, $p := (p_1, \ldots, p_n)$, $p_j := -i\nabla_{x_j}$. In other words, states decay exponentially in the particle coordinates x. To guarantee that such an energy exists, we assume that U(x) is such that the particle hamiltonian H_p has discrete eigenvalues below the essential spectrum ([35, 6, 7]). (Σ is close to inf $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_p)$ and is directly defined in [35].)

We are thus interested in processes like emission and absorption of radiation, or scattering of photons on an electron bound by an external potential (created e.g. by an infinitely heavy nucleus), in which the particle system (say, an atom or a molecule) is not being ionized.

Problem. Denote by Φ_j and E_j the eigenfunctions and the corresponding eigenvalues of the hamiltonian H below Σ , i.e. $E_j < \Sigma$. The following are the key characteristics of the evolution of a physical system, in progressive order the refined information they provide and in our context:

- Local decay stating that some photons are bound to the particle system while others (if any) escape to infinity, i.e. the probability that they occupy any bounded region of the physical space tends to zero, as $t \to \infty$.
- Minimal photon velocity bound with speed c stating that, as $t \to \infty$, with probability $\to 1$, the photons are either bound to the particle system or depart from it with the distance $\geq c't$, for any c' < c.
 - Similarly, if the probability that at least one photon is at the distance $\geq c''t$, c'' > c, from the particle system vanishes, as $t \to \infty$, we say that the evolution satisfies the maximal photon velocity bound with speed c.
- Asymptotic completeness on the interval $(-\infty, \Sigma)$ stating that, for any $\psi_0 \in \operatorname{Ran} E_{(-\infty, \Sigma)}(H)$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, there are photon wave functions $f_{j\epsilon} \in \mathcal{F}$, with a finite number of photons, s.t. the solution $\psi_t = e^{-itH}\psi_0$ of the Schrödinger equation (1.3) satisfies

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \|e^{-itH}\psi_0 - \sum_j e^{-iE_j t} \Phi_j \otimes_s e^{-iH_f t} f_{j\epsilon}\| \le \epsilon.$$
 (1.5)

In other words, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and with probability $\geq 1 - \epsilon$, the Schrödinger evolution ψ_t approaches asymptotically a superposition of states in which the particle system with a photon cloud bound to it is in one of its bound states, Φ_j , with additional photons (or possibly none) escaping to infinity with the velocity of light.

The reason for $\epsilon > 0$ in (1.5) is that, for the state $\Phi_j \otimes_s f$ to be well defined, as one would expect, one would have to have a very tight control on the number of photons in f, i.e. the number of photons escaping the particle system. (See the remark at the end of Subsection 5.4 of [19] for a more technical explanation.) For massive bosons, $\epsilon > 0$ can be dropped (set to zero), as the number of photons can be bound by the energy cut-off.¹

We define the photon velocity in terms of its space-time (and sometimes phase-space-time) localization. In a quantum theory this is formulated in terms of quantum localization observables and related quantum probabilities. We describe the photon position by the operator $y := i\nabla_k$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, canonically conjugate to the photon momentum k. To test the photon localization, we use the observables $d\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))$, where $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y)$ denotes the characteristic function of a subset Ω of \mathbb{R}^3 . We also use the localization observables $\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))$,

¹For a discussion of scattering of massless bosons in QFT see [11].

where $\Gamma(\chi)$ is the lifting of a one-photon operator χ (e.g. a smoothed out characteristic function of y) to the photon Fock space, defined by

$$\Gamma(\chi) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\otimes^n \chi),$$

(so that $\Gamma(e^b) = e^{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(b)}$), and then to the space of the total system. The observables $\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))$ and $\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))$ have the following natural properties:

- $\bullet \ \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2}(y)) = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_1}(y)) + \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_2}(y)) \ \mathrm{and} \ \Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_1}(y))\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_2}(y)) = 0, \ \mathrm{for} \ \Omega_1 \ \mathrm{and} \ \Omega_2 \ \mathrm{disjoint},$
- $T_g X_{\Omega}(y) T_g^{-1} = X_{g^{-1}\Omega}(y)$, where $T_g = \Gamma(\tau_g)$, with $\tau_g : f(y) \to f(g^{-1}y)$, and $X_{\Omega}(y)$ stands for either $d\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))$ or $\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))$.

The observables $d\Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))$ can be interpreted as giving the number of photons in Borel sets $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. They are closely related to those used in [25, 33, 47] (and discussed earlier in [49] and [1]) and are consistent with a theoretical description of the detection of photons (usually via the photoelectric effect, see e.g. [50]). The quantity $\langle \psi, \Gamma(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y))\psi \rangle$ is interpreted as the probability that the photons are in the set Ω in the state ψ . This said, we should mention that the subject of photon localization is still far from being settled.²

The fact that for photons the observables we use depend on the choice of polarization vector fields, $\varepsilon_{\lambda}(k)$, $\lambda = 1, 2,^3$ is not an impediment here as our results imply analogous results for e.g. localization observables of Mandel [49] and of Amrein and Jauch and Piron [1, 44]: $d\Gamma(f_{\Omega}^{\text{man}})$ and $d\Gamma(f_{\Omega}^{\text{a,ip}})$, where $f_{\Omega}^{\text{man}} := P^{\perp} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y) P^{\perp}$ and $f_{\Omega}^{\text{a,ip}} := \mathbf{1}_{\Omega}(y) \cap P^{\perp}$, respectively, acting in the Fock space based on the space $\mathfrak{h} = L^2_{\text{transv}}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3) := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3) : k \cdot f(k) = 0\}$ instead of $\mathfrak{h} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^2)$. Here $P^{\perp} : f(k) \to f(k) - |k|^{-2}k \ k \cdot f(k)$ is the orthogonal projection on the transverse vector fields and, for two orthogonal projections P_1 and P_2 , the symbol $P_1 \cap P_2$ stand for the orthogonal projection on the largest subspace contained in Ran P_1 and Ran P_2 .

We say that the system obeys the minimal photon velocity bound if the Schrödinger evolution, $\psi_t = e^{-itH}\psi_0$, obeys the estimates

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} dt \ t^{-\alpha'} \left\| \mathrm{d}\Gamma \left(\chi_{\frac{|y|}{ct^{\alpha}} = 1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{t} \right\|^{2} \lesssim \|\psi_{0}\|_{0}^{2},$$

for some norm $\|\psi_0\|_0$, some $0 < \alpha' \le 1$, and for any $\alpha > 0$ and c > 0 such that either $\alpha < 1$, or $\alpha = 1$ and c < 1. In other words there are no photons which either diffuse or propagate with speed < 1. Here $\chi_{\Omega}(v)$ denotes a smoothed out characteristic function of the set Ω , which is defined at the end of the introduction. The maximal velocity estimate, as proven in [10], states that, for any c' > 1, and $\gamma < \min(\frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{c'}), \frac{1}{10})$,

$$\left\| \mathrm{d}\Gamma \left(\chi_{\frac{|y|}{c't} \ge 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_t \right\| \lesssim t^{-\gamma} \left\| (\mathrm{d}\Gamma (\langle y \rangle) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_0 \right\|.$$

Considerable progress has been made in understanding the asymptotic dynamics of non-relativistic particle systems coupled to quantized electromagnetic or phonon field. The local decay property was proven in [7, 8, 9, 12, 28, 29, 31, 32], by the combination of the renormalization group and positive commutator methods. The maximal velocity estimate was proven in [10].

An important breakthrough was achieved recently in [14], where the authors proved relaxation to the ground state and uniform bounds on the number of emitted massless bosons in the spin-boson model. (Importance of both questions was emphasized earlier by Jürg Fröhlich.)

In quantum field theory, asymptotic completeness was proven for (a small perturbation of) a solvable model involving a harmonic oscillator (see [3, 61]), and for models involving massive boson fields ([17, 25, 26, 27]). Moreover, [33] obtained some important results for massless bosons (the Nelson model) in confined potentials (see below for a more detailed discussion). Motivated by the many-body quantum scattering, [17, 25, 26, 27, 33] defined the main notions of scattering theory on Fock spaces, such as wave operators, asymptotic completeness and propagation estimates.

Results. Now we formulate our results. It is known (see [7, 36]) that the operator H has a unique ground state (denoted here as Φ_{gs}) and that generically (e.g. under the Fermi Golden Rule condition) it has no

²The issue of localizability of photons is a tricky one and has been intensely discussed in the literature since the 1930 and 1932 papers by Landau and Peierls [46] and Pauli [52] (see also a review in [45]). A set of axioms for localization observables was proposed by Newton and Wigner [51] and Wightman [64] and further generalized by Jauch and Piron [44]. Observables describing localization of massless particles, satisfying the Jauch-Piron version of the Wightman axioms, were constructed by Amrein in [1].

³Since polarization vector fields are not smooth, using them to reduce the results from one set of localization observables to another would limit the possible time decay. However, these vector fields can be avoided by using the approach of [48].

eigenvalues in the interval (E_{gs}, Σ) , where E_{gs} is the ground state energy (see [8, 28, 32]). We assume that this is exactly the case:

Fermi's Golden Rule
$$([6, 7])$$
 holds. (1.6)

(If the particle system has an infinite number of eigenvalues accumulating to its ionization threshold – which is the bottom of its essential spectrum – then, to rule out the eigenvalues in the spectral interval of interest, we should replace Σ by $\Sigma - \epsilon$ for some fixed ϵ . This is understood from now on.)

We will consider the following sets of initial conditions

$$\Upsilon_1 := \{ \psi_0 \in f(H)D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}), \text{ for some } f \in \mathrm{C}_0^{\infty}((-\infty, \Sigma)) \},$$

and

$$\Upsilon_2 := \{ \psi_0 \in f(H)D(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\langle y \rangle)), \text{ for some } f \in \mathrm{C}_0^\infty((E_{\mathrm{gs}}, \Sigma)) \}.$$

For $A \ge -C$, let $\|\psi_0\|_A := \|(A+C+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_0\|$. We define $\nu(\rho) \ge 0$ as the smallest real number satisfying the inequality

$$\langle \psi_t, \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^\rho)\psi_t \rangle \lesssim t^{\nu(\rho)} \|\psi_0\|_{\varrho}^2,$$
 (1.7)

for any $\psi_0 \in \operatorname{Ran} E_{(-\infty,\Sigma)}(H)$, where $\|\psi\|_{\rho} := \|\psi\|_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho})}$. It was shown in [10] (see also (A.1) of Appendix A of [19]) that

$$\nu(\rho) \le \frac{2(1-\rho)}{5}$$

(this generalizes an earlier bound due to [33]). Also, the bound $\|\psi_t\|_{H_f} \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_H$ shows that (1.7) holds for $\rho = 1$ with $\nu(1) = 0$. With $\nu(\rho)$ defined by (1.7), we prove the following two results.

Theorem 1.1 (Minimal photon velocity bound). Let either $\beta = 1$ and c < 1, or

$$\frac{1}{6}(5+\nu(-1)-\nu(0)) < \beta < 1. \tag{1.8}$$

Then for any initial condition $\psi_0 \in \Upsilon_1$, the Schrödinger evolution, ψ_t , satisfies, for any a > 1, the following estimate

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} dt \ t^{-\beta - a\nu(0)} \left\| d\Gamma(\chi_{\frac{|y|}{ct^{\beta}} = 1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi_{t} \right\|^{2} \lesssim \|\psi_{0}\|_{-1}^{2}. \tag{1.9}$$

Theorem 1.2 (Weak minimal photon escape velocity estimate). Let the coupling constants κ_j be sufficiently small. Assume (1.6) and $\nu(-1) < \alpha < 1 - \nu(0)$. Then for any initial condition $\psi_0 \in \Upsilon_2$, the Schrödinger evolution, ψ_t , satisfies the estimate

$$\left\| \Gamma\left(\chi_{\frac{|y|}{dt\Omega} \le 1}\right) \psi_t \right\| \lesssim t^{-\gamma} \left(\|\psi_0\|_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\langle y \rangle)}^2 + \|\psi_0\|_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\tilde{b})^2}^2 \right), \tag{1.10}$$

where $\gamma < \frac{1}{2}\min(1 - \alpha - \nu(0), \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \nu(0) - \nu(-1)))$ and $\tilde{b} := \frac{1}{2}(k \cdot y + y \cdot k)$.

Remarks.

- 1) The estimates (1.9) and (1.10) are sharp if $\nu(0) = 0$ and $\nu(-1) = 0$.
- 2) The estimate (1.10) states that, as $t \to \infty$, with probability $\to 1$, either all photons are attached to the particle system in the ground state, or at least one photon departs the particle system with the distance growing at least as $\mathcal{O}(t^{\alpha})$.
- 3) The norm on the r.h.s. of (1.10) above is different (weaker) than the one given in [19], however the proof can be easily upgraded to the weaker norm indicated, by omitting the unnecessary estimate $\|\psi_0\|_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\bar{b})^2}^2 \lesssim \|\psi_0\|_{\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\langle y \rangle)^2}^2$ for $\psi_0 \in D(H)$.

Let $N := d\Gamma(1)$ be the photon number operator and $K := d\Gamma(\omega^{-1})$ be the photon low momentum number operator. Our next result is

Theorem 1.3 (Asymptotic Completeness). Let the coupling constants κ_j be sufficiently small. Assume (1.6) and suppose that, uniformly in $t \in [0, \infty)$, either

$$||N^{1/2}\psi_t|| \lesssim ||N^{1/2}\psi_0|| + ||\psi_0||, \tag{1.11}$$

for any $\psi_0 \in f(H)D(N^{1/2})$, with $f \in C_0^{\infty}((E_{gs}, \Sigma))$, or

$$||K^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi_t|| \lesssim 1,$$
 (1.12)

for any $\psi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$, where \mathcal{D} is such that $\mathcal{D} \cap D(d\Gamma(\omega^{-1/2}\langle y \rangle \omega^{-1/2})^{\frac{1}{2}})$ is dense in $\operatorname{Ran} E_{(-\infty,\Sigma)}(H)$. Then the asymptotic completeness holds on $\operatorname{Ran} E_{(-\infty,\Sigma)}(H)$.

The advantage of Assumption (1.12) is that the uniform bound on $K = d\Gamma(\omega^{-1})$ is required to hold only for an *arbitrary* dense set of initial states and, as a result, can be verified for the massless spin-boson model by modifying slightly the proof of [14] (see [20]). Hence the asymptotic completeness for the massless spin-boson model holds with no implicit conditions.

As we see from the results above, the uniform bounds, (1.11) or (1.12), on the number of photons emerge as the remaining stumbling blocks to proving the asymptotic completeness without qualifications. The difficulty in proving these bounds for massless fields is due to the same infrared problem which pervades this field and which was successfully tackled in other central issues, such as the theory of ground states and resonances (see [5, 56] for reviews), the local decay and the maximal velocity bound.

For massive bosons, the inequality (1.11) (as well as (1.7), with $\nu(0) = 0$) is easily proven and the proof below simplifies considerably as well. In this case, the result is unconditional. It was first proven in [17] for models with confined particles, and in [25] for Rayleigh scattering.

Comparison with earlier results. For models involving massive bosons fields, some minimal velocity estimates are proven in [17]. For massless bosons, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 seem to be new. As was mentioned above, asymptotic completeness was proven for (a small perturbation of) a solvable model involving a harmonic oscillator (see [3, 61]), and, for models involving massive boson fields, in [17] for confined systems, in [25] below the ionization threshold for non-confined systems, and in [26] for Compton scattering.

The paper [33] treats the Nelson model given by the state space $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_p \otimes \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{H}_p := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, \mathcal{F} is the bosonic Fock space based on the one-phonon space $\mathfrak{h} := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C})$, and the hamiltonian

$$H := H_p + H_f + I(g), \tag{1.13}$$

acting on \mathcal{H} . Here $H_p := -\frac{1}{2m}\Delta_x + V(x)$, with V(x) growing at infinity as $V(x) \geq c_0|x|^{2\alpha} - c_1$, $c_0 > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, acting on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $H_f = \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega)$, where $\omega(k) = |k|$ and I(g) is given by

$$I(g) := \int (g^*(k) \otimes a(k) + g(k) \otimes a^*(k)) dk, \tag{1.14}$$

where $a^*(k)$ and a(k) are the phonon creation and annihilation operators acting on \mathcal{F} , with abstract conditions on the coupling function g (allowing a coupling function of the form $g(k) = |k|^{\mu} \xi(k) e^{ikx}$, where $\xi(k)$ is the ultraviolet cut-off, with various conditions on μ depending on the results involved). In this case, in particular, the ionization threshold Σ is equal to ∞ .

We reproduce the main results of [33] (Theorems 12.4, 12.5 and 13.3), which are coached in different terms than ours and present another important view of the subject. Let $f, f_0 \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $0 \leq f, f_0 \leq 1, f' \geq 0, f = 0$ for $s \leq \alpha_0, f = 1$ for $s \geq \alpha_1, f'_0 \leq 0, f_0 = 1$ for $s \leq \alpha_1, f_0 = 0$ for $s \geq \alpha_2$, with $0 < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \alpha_2$. Let $P_c^+ := \inf_{c < c'} \hat{P}_{c'}^+$, with $\hat{P}_{c'}^+ := \operatorname{s-lim}_{\epsilon \to 0} \epsilon^{-1} \hat{R}_c^+(\epsilon^{-1}), \hat{R}_c^+(\epsilon^{-1}) := \operatorname{s-lim}_{t \to \infty} e^{itH}(B_{ct} + \lambda)^{-1} e^{-itH}, B_{ct} := d\Gamma(b_{ct}), b_{ct} := f(\frac{|y|-ct}{\ell^p}) \text{ and } \Gamma_{c'}^+(f_0) := \operatorname{s-lim}_{t \to \infty} e^{itH}\Gamma(f_0, c', t) e^{-itH},$ where $f_{0,c',t} := f_0(\frac{|y|-c't}{\ell^p})$. Then Proposition 12.2 and Theorem 12.3 state that the operators P_c^+ exist provided $\rho > \frac{1}{\mu+1}$, are independent of the choice of f, and are orthogonal projections commuting with H. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{K}^+ := \{\Phi \in \mathcal{H}, a_\pm(h)\Phi = 0, \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ (called in [33] the set of asymptotic vacua), where (formally) $a_\pm(h) := \operatorname{s-lim}_{t \to \pm \infty} e^{itH} a(e^{-it\omega}h) e^{-itH}$ and $\mathcal{H}_c^+ := \operatorname{Ran} P_c^+$ (the spaces containing states with only a finite number of photons in the region $\{|y| \geq c't\}$ as $t \to \infty$, for all c' > c). Assuming $\alpha > 1$ and $\mu > 0$, Theorems 12.4 and 12.5 state that the operator $\Gamma_{c'}^+(f_0)$ exists and is equal to the orthogonal projection on the space $\mathcal{K}_c^+ := \mathcal{K}^+ \cap \mathcal{H}_c^+$, provided 0 < c < c' < 1 and $\rho > \frac{1}{\mu+1}$. Assuming in addition that the Mourre estimate $\mathbf{1}_\Delta(H)[H, iB]\mathbf{1}_\Delta(H) \geq c_0\mathbf{1}_\Delta(H) + R$ holds on an open interval $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$, with the conjugate operator $B := \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\hat{b}), c_0 > 0$ and $R \in \mathcal{H}$ compact, then for $0 < c < c(\Delta, c_0)$, one has $\mathbf{1}_\Delta(H)\mathcal{K}_c^+ = \mathbf{1}_\Delta(H)\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{pp}}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{pp}}$ is the pure point spectrum eigenspace of H. (The latter property is called in [33] geometric asymptotic completeness. Combining results of [7, 8, 28] one can probably prove a Mourre estimate, with B as conjugate operator, in any spectral interval above

Our approach is similar to the one of [33] in as much as it also originates in ideas of the quantum many-body scattering theory. At this the similarities end.

Approach and organization of the paper. In [19] we gave the details of the proofs of similar results for the hamiltonian (1.13)–(1.14), with the coupling operators g(k) satisfying

$$\|\eta^{|\alpha|}\partial^{\alpha}g(k)\|_{\mathcal{H}_{n}} \lesssim |k|^{\mu-|\alpha|}\langle k\rangle^{-2-\mu}, \quad |\alpha| \le 2, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\mu > -1/2$ or $\mu > 0$, and η is an estimating operator on the particle space \mathcal{H}_p (a bounded, positive operator with unbounded inverse), satisfying

$$\|\eta^{-n}f(H)\| \lesssim 1$$
,

for any n = 1, 2 and $f \in C_0^{\infty}((-\infty, \Sigma))$. As was mentioned in [19], to extend these proofs to the hamiltonian (1.1), we use, similarly to [10], the generalized Pauli-Fierz canonical transform to map the hamiltonian (1.1) to a hamiltonian \tilde{H} with milder infrared behaviour (Section 2). Then we extend readily techniques of [19] to \tilde{H} and relate the results for \tilde{H} with those for (1.1) (Section 3). We also include Supplement I defining and discussing the creation and annihilation operators and presenting some standard commutation relations.

Notations. For functions A and B, we will use the notation $A \lesssim B$ signifying that $A \leq CB$ for some absolute (numerical) constant $0 < C < \infty$. The symbol E_{Δ} stands for the characteristic function of a set Δ , while $\chi_{\cdot \leq 1}$ denotes a smoothed out characteristic function of the interval $(-\infty, 1]$, that is it is in $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, is non-decreasing, and = 1 if $x \leq 1/2$ and = 0 if $x \geq 1$. Moreover, $\chi_{\cdot \geq 1} := 1 - \chi_{\cdot \leq 1}$ and $\chi_{\cdot = 1}$ stands for the derivative of $\chi_{\cdot \geq 1}$. Given a self-adjoint operator a and a real number a, we write $\chi_{a \leq a} := \chi_{\frac{a}{\alpha} \leq 1}$, and likewise for $\chi_{a > \alpha}$. Finally, D(A) denotes the domain of an operator A.

2. Generalized Pauli-Fierz transformation

We consider for simplicity a single negatively charged particle in an external potential. Then, absorbing the absolute value of the particle charge into the vector potential $A(x) := A_{\xi}(x)$ and choosing units such that the electron mass is m = 1/2, the hamiltonian (1.1) becomes

$$H = (p + A(x))^{2} + H_{f} + U(x).$$
(2.1)

The coupling function $g_x^{\text{qed}}(k,\lambda) := |k|^{-1/2} \xi(k) \varepsilon_{\lambda}(k) e^{ik \cdot x}$ in (2.1) is more singular in the infrared than is allowed by our techniques $(\mu > 0)$. To go around this problem we use the (unitary) generalized Pauli–Fierz transformation (see [55])

$$\mathcal{U} := e^{i\Phi(q_x)},\tag{2.2}$$

to pass from H, given in (2.1), to the new hamiltonian $\tilde{H} := \mathcal{U}H\mathcal{U}^*$, where $\Phi(h)$ is the operator-valued field, $\Phi(h) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^*(h) + a(h))$ and the function $q_x(k,\lambda)$ is defined as follows. Let $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ be a non-decreasing function such that $\varphi(r) = r$ if $|r| \le 1/2$ and $|\varphi(r)| = 1$ if $|r| \ge 1$. For $0 < \nu < 1/2$, we define

$$q_x(k,\lambda) := \frac{\xi(k)}{|k|^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu}} \varphi(|k|^{\nu} \varepsilon_{\lambda}(k) \cdot x). \tag{2.3}$$

We note that the definition of $\Phi(h)$ gives $A(x) = \Phi(g_x^{\text{qed}})$. Using (I.1) and (I.2), we compute

$$\tilde{H} = (p + \tilde{A}(x))^2 + E(x) + H_f + V(x),$$
(2.4)

where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{A}(x) := \Phi(\tilde{g}_x), & \tilde{g}_x(k,\lambda) := g_x^{\text{qed}}(k,\lambda) - \nabla_x q_x(k,\lambda), \\ E(x) := \Phi(e_x), & e_x(k,\lambda) := i|k|q_x(k,\lambda), \\ V(x) := U(x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |k| |q_x(k,\lambda)|^2 dk. \end{cases}$$

The operator \tilde{H} is self-adjoint with domain $\mathcal{D}(\tilde{H}) = \mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(p^2 + H_f)$ (see [39, 40]).

Now, the coupling functions (form factors) $\tilde{g}_x(k,\lambda)$ and $e_x(k,\lambda)$ in the transformed hamiltonian, \tilde{H} , satisfy the estimates that are better behaved in the infrared ([10]):

$$|\partial_k^m \tilde{g}_x(k,\lambda)| \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-3} |k|^{\frac{1}{2} - |m|} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{\nu} + |m|},$$

$$|\partial_k^m e_x(k,\lambda)| \lesssim \langle k \rangle^{-3} |k|^{\frac{1}{2} - |m|} \langle x \rangle^{1 + |m|}.$$

We see that the new hamiltonian (2.4) is of the form (1.13), $\widetilde{H} = H_p + H_f + \widetilde{I}(g)$, with $H_p := -\Delta + V(x)$, $H_f = d\Gamma(\omega)$ and

$$\tilde{I}(g) := \sum_{ij} \iint d\underline{k}_{(i)} d\underline{k}'_{(j)} g_{ij}(\underline{k}_{(i)}, \underline{k}'_{(j)}) \otimes a^*(\underline{k}_{(i)}) a(\underline{k}'_{(j)}),$$

where the summation in i, j ranges over the set $i, j \geq 0, 1 \leq i + j \leq 2, \underline{k}_{(p)} := (\underline{k}_1, \dots, \underline{k}_p), \underline{k}_j := (k_j, \lambda_j),$ $\int d\underline{k}_{(p)} := \prod_1^p \sum_{\lambda_j} \int dk_j, \ a^{\#}(\underline{k}_{(p)}) := \prod_1^p a^{\#}(\underline{k}_j) \text{ if } p \geq 1 \text{ and } = \mathbf{1}, \text{ if } p = 0, \ a^{\#}(\underline{k}_j) := a_{\lambda_j}^{\#}(k_j), \text{ and } g := (g_{ij}).$ The coupling operators, $g_{ij} = g_{ij}(\underline{k}_{(i)}, \underline{k}_{(j)})$ obey

$$g_{ij}(\underline{k}_{(i)},\underline{k}'_{(j)}) = g_{ji}^*(\underline{k}'_{(j)},\underline{k}_{(i)}),$$

and satisfy the estimates

$$\|\langle p\rangle^{i+j-2}\langle x\rangle^{-|\alpha|-\frac{1}{\nu}}\partial^{\alpha}g_{ij}(\underline{k}_{(i+j)})\|_{\mathcal{H}_p} \lesssim \sum_{m=1}^{i+j} \prod_{\ell=1}^{i+j} (|k_{\ell}|^{\mu}\langle k_{\ell}\rangle^{-3})|k_m|^{-|\alpha|}, \tag{2.5}$$

with $\mu = 1/2$, $|\alpha| \le 2$, and $1 \le i + j \le 2$.

The bound (1.4) holds for both (2.1) and (2.4). With (1.4) and (2.5), it is easy to extend Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to the operator \tilde{H} and then translate the result to (2.1). Similarly one proceeds with Theorem 1.3. We explain all this in Section 3.

3. Proof of Theorems 1.1-1.3

3.1. **Proof of Theorems 1.1–1.2.** Using (2.5), it is not difficult to extend Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to the operator \tilde{H} (cf. [10], where one can find many needed, or similar, estimates). Then we translate Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 from \tilde{H} to the QED hamilonian (2.1), by using the following estimates ([10])

$$\left\| d\Gamma(\chi_1(v))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi \right\|^2 \lesssim \left\langle \mathcal{U}\psi, d\Gamma(\chi_1(v)) \mathcal{U}\psi \right\rangle + t^{-\alpha d} \|\psi\|^2, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\left\| \Gamma(\chi_2(v))^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi \right\|^2 \lesssim \left\langle \mathcal{U}\psi, \Gamma(\chi_2(v)) \mathcal{U}\psi \right\rangle + t^{-\alpha d} \|\psi\|^2, \tag{3.2}$$

where $v := \frac{y}{ct^{\alpha}}$, valid for any functions $\chi_1(v)$ and $\chi_2(v)$ supported in $\{|v| \leq \epsilon\}$ and $\{|v| \geq \epsilon\}$, respectively, for some $\epsilon > 0$, for any $\psi \in f(H)\mathcal{H}$, with $f \in C_0^{\infty}((-\infty, \Sigma))$, and for $0 \leq d < 1/2$. (3.1) follows from estimates of Section 2 of [10] and (3.2) can be obtained similarly (see (I.2) and (I.3)). Using these estimates for $\psi_t = e^{-itH}\psi_0$, with an initial condition ψ_0 in either Υ_1 or Υ_2 , together with $\mathcal{U}e^{-itH}\psi_0 = e^{-it\tilde{H}}\mathcal{U}\psi_0$, and applying Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for \tilde{H} to the first terms on the r.h.s., we see that, to obtain Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the hamiltonian (2.1), we need, in addition, the estimates

$$\langle \psi, \mathcal{U}^* d\Gamma(\omega^{-1}) \mathcal{U} \psi \rangle \lesssim \langle \psi, (d\Gamma(\omega^{-1}) + 1) \psi \rangle,$$
 (3.3)

$$\langle \psi, \mathcal{U}^* d\Gamma(\langle y \rangle) \mathcal{U} \psi \rangle \lesssim \langle \psi, (d\Gamma(\langle y \rangle) + \langle x \rangle^2) \psi \rangle,$$
 (3.4)

$$\|\mathcal{U}^* \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\tilde{b})\mathcal{U}\psi\| \lesssim \|\left(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\tilde{b}) + \langle x \rangle^2\right)\psi\|,$$
 (3.5)

where, recall, $\tilde{b} = \frac{1}{2}(k \cdot y + y \cdot k)$.

To prove (3.3), we see that, by (I.2), we have

$$\mathcal{U}^* d\Gamma(\omega^{-1}) \mathcal{U} = e^{i\Phi(q_x)} d\Gamma(\omega^{-1}) e^{-i\Phi(q_x)} = d\Gamma(\omega^{-1}) - \Phi(i\omega^{-1}q_x) + \frac{1}{2} \|\omega^{-1/2}q_x\|_{\mathfrak{h}}^2.$$

(Since $\omega^{-1}q_x \notin \mathfrak{h}$, the field operator $\Phi(i\omega^{-1}q_x)$ is not well-defined and therefore this formula should be modified by introducing, for instance, an infrared cutoff parameter σ into q_x . One then removes it at the end of the estimates. Since such a procedure is standard, we omit it here.) This relation, together with

$$|\langle \psi, \Phi(i\omega^{-1}q_x)\psi \rangle| \lesssim \left(\int \omega^{-3-2\nu+\varepsilon} \langle k \rangle^{-6} dk\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|d\Gamma(\omega^{-\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\| \|\psi\|, \tag{3.6}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, which follows from the bounds of Lemma I.1 of Supplement I, and

$$\|\omega^{-\frac{1}{2}}q_x\|_{\mathfrak{h}} \lesssim \|\omega^{-1-\nu}\langle k\rangle^{-3}\|_{\mathfrak{h}},$$
 (3.7)

implies (3.3).

To prove (3.4) and (3.5), we proceed similarly, using, instead of (3.6) and (3.7), the estimates

$$\begin{split} |\langle \psi, \Phi(i\langle y \rangle q_x) \psi \rangle| &\lesssim \left(\int \omega^{-2-2\nu} \langle k \rangle^{-6} dk \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| d\Gamma(\omega^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi \right\| \|\langle x \rangle \psi \| \\ &\lesssim \left(\int \omega^{-2-2\nu} \langle k \rangle^{-6} dk \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| d\Gamma(\langle y \rangle)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi \right\| \|\langle x \rangle \psi \|, \\ \|\langle y \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} q_x \|_{\mathfrak{h}} &\lesssim \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\omega^{-1-\nu} \langle k \rangle^{-3} \|_{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{split}$$

and

$$\|\Phi(i\tilde{b}q_x)\psi\| \lesssim \left(\int \omega^{-2-2\nu} \langle k \rangle^{-6} dk\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\langle x \rangle (H_f + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \psi\|,$$
$$|\langle q_x, \tilde{b}q_x \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}| \lesssim \langle x \rangle \|\omega^{-\frac{1}{2}-\nu} \langle k \rangle^{-3} \|_{\mathfrak{h}}^{2}.$$

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We present the parts of the proof of Theorem 1.3 for the hamiltonian (2.1) which differ from that for the hamiltonian (1.13)–(1.14). To begin with, the existence and the properties of the Deift-Simon wave operators,

$$W_{\pm} := \underset{t \to +\infty}{\text{s-lim}} W(t), \quad \text{with} \quad W(t) := e^{it\hat{H}} \check{\Gamma}(j) e^{-itH},$$

on $\operatorname{Ran}_{(-\infty,\Sigma)}(H)$, where $\hat{H} = H \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes H_f$ and the operators $\check{\Gamma}$ and $j = (j_0, j_\infty)$ are defined in Subsection 5.1 of [19], are equivalent to the existence and the properties of the modified Deift-Simon wave operators

$$W_{\pm}^{(\text{mod})} := \underset{t \to \pm \infty}{\text{s-lim}} \left(e^{-i\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) e^{it\hat{H}} \check{\Gamma}(j) e^{-itH} e^{i\Phi(q_x)}, \tag{3.8}$$

on $\operatorname{Ran}_{(-\infty,\Sigma)}(\tilde{H})$ (where $\tilde{H}=e^{-i\Phi(q_x)}He^{i\Phi(q_x)}$ is given in (2.4)).

To prove the existence of $W_{+}^{(\text{mod})}$, we observe that, due to

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)a^{\#}(h) = (a^{\#}(j_0h) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes a^{\#}(j_\infty h))\check{\Gamma}(j), \tag{3.9}$$

where $a^{\#}$ stands for a or a^{*} , we have $\check{\Gamma}(j)\Phi(h)=\hat{\Phi}(h)\check{\Gamma}(j)$, where

$$\hat{\Phi}(h) := \Phi(j_0 h) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \Phi(j_\infty h),$$

which in turn implies that

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)e^{i\Phi(h)} = e^{i\hat{\Phi}(h)}\check{\Gamma}(j).$$

Therefore

$$(e^{-i\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1})e^{it\hat{H}}\check{\Gamma}(j)e^{-itH}e^{i\Phi(q_x)} = (e^{-i\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1})e^{it\hat{H}}e^{i\hat{\Phi}(q_x)}\check{\Gamma}(j)e^{-it\tilde{H}}$$
$$= e^{it\hat{H}^{(\text{mod})}}\check{\Gamma}(j)e^{-it\tilde{H}} + \text{Rem}_t, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\hat{H}^{(\text{mod})} := \tilde{H} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes H_f$ and $\text{Rem}_t := \left(e^{-i\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1}\right) e^{it\hat{H}} \left(e^{i\hat{\Phi}(q_x)} - e^{i\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1}\right) \check{\Gamma}(j) e^{-it\tilde{H}}$. We claim that

$$s-\lim_{t \to \pm \infty} \operatorname{Rem}_t = 0.$$
(3.11)

Indeed, let $R := \hat{\Phi}(q_x) - \Phi(q_x) \otimes \mathbf{1} = \Phi((j_0 - 1)q_x) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \Phi(j_\infty q_x)$ and $\hat{N} := N \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes N$. Using (2.3), Lemma I.1 and Lemma B.6 of [19] (see also [10, Lemma 3.1]), we obtain

$$\left\| R(\hat{N}+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \lesssim \|(j_0-1)q_x\|_{\mathfrak{h}} + \|j_{\infty}q_x\|_{\mathfrak{h}} \lesssim t^{-\alpha\tau} \langle x \rangle^{1+\tau},$$

for any $\tau < 1$. From this estimate and the relation $e^{i\hat{\Phi}(q_x)} - e^{i\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1} = -i \int_0^1 ds e^{(1-s)i\hat{\Phi}(q_x)} R(e^{si\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1})$, it is not difficult to deduce that

$$\left\| \left(e^{i\hat{\Phi}(q_x)} - e^{i\Phi(q_x)} \otimes \mathbf{1} \right) (\hat{N} + \langle x \rangle^{2+2\tau} + 1)^{-1} \right\| \lesssim t^{-\alpha\tau}.$$

Furthermore, we have $(\hat{N} + \langle x \rangle^{2+2\tau} + 1)\check{\Gamma}(j) = \check{\Gamma}(j)(N + \langle x \rangle^{2+2\tau} + 1)$, and, as in Corollary A.3 of [19], one can verify that $\|Ne^{-it\tilde{H}}\psi_0\| \lesssim t^{2/5}\|\psi_0\|_{-1}$ for any $\psi_0 \in f(\tilde{H})D(K^{1/2})$, $f \in C_0^{\infty}((-\infty, \Sigma))$. Using in addition that $\|\langle x \rangle^{2+2\tau}f(\tilde{H})\| < \infty$, it follows that Rem_t strongly converges to 0 on $\text{Ran}_{(-\infty,\Sigma)}(\tilde{H})$ provided that $\alpha\tau > 2/5$.

The equations (3.8), (3.10) and (3.11) imply

$$W_{\pm}^{(\text{mod})} = \underset{t \to +\infty}{\text{s-lim}} e^{it\hat{H}^{(\text{mod})}} \check{\Gamma}(j) e^{-it\tilde{H}}. \tag{3.12}$$

The proofs of the existence and properties of the Deift-Simon wave operators (3.12) are then done as for W_{\pm} in [19] (see Theorem 5.1 of [19]), but with G_1 , defined in (5.16) of [19], replaced by

$$\tilde{G}_1 := (\tilde{I}(g) \otimes \mathbf{1})\check{\Gamma}(j) - \check{\Gamma}(j)\tilde{I}(g), \tag{3.13}$$

where $\tilde{I}(g) := p \cdot \tilde{A}(x) + \tilde{A}(x) \cdot p + \tilde{A}(x)^2 + E(x)$ is coming from the decomposition

$$\widetilde{H} = H_p + H_f + \widetilde{I}(g).$$

We use (3.9) to derive

$$\check{\Gamma}(j)\widetilde{I}(g) = \widehat{I}(g)\check{\Gamma}(j),$$

where

$$\hat{I}(g) := p \cdot \hat{A}(x) + \hat{A}(x) \cdot p + \hat{A}(x)^2 + \hat{E}(x),$$

with $\hat{A}(x) := \Phi(j_0 \tilde{g}_x) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \Phi(j_\infty \tilde{g}_x)$, $\hat{E}(x) := \Phi(j_0 e_x) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes \Phi(j_\infty e_x)$. This together with (3.13) gives

$$\tilde{G}_1 = I_{\#}(g)\check{\Gamma}(j),$$

where

$$I_{\#}(g) := p \cdot A_{\#}(x) + A_{\#}(x) \cdot p + A_{\#}(x) \cdot \hat{A}(x) + \hat{A}(x) \cdot A_{\#}(x) + E_{\#}(x),$$

with $A_{\#}(x) := \Phi((1-j_0)\tilde{g}_x) \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes \Phi(j_{\infty}\tilde{g}_x)$, $E_{\#}(x) := \Phi((1-j_0)e_x) \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes \Phi(j_{\infty}e_x)$. Using the last expression, Lemma I.1 and the estimates

$$||j_{\infty}\tilde{g}_{x}||_{\mathfrak{h}} \lesssim t^{-\alpha\tau} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{\nu}+\tau}, \quad ||(1-j_{0})\tilde{g}_{x}||_{\mathfrak{h}} \lesssim t^{-\alpha\tau} \langle x \rangle^{\frac{1}{\nu}+\tau},$$
$$||j_{\infty}e_{x}||_{\mathfrak{h}} \lesssim t^{-\alpha\tau} \langle x \rangle^{1+\tau}, \quad ||(1-j_{0})e_{x}||_{\mathfrak{h}} \lesssim t^{-\alpha\tau} \langle x \rangle^{1+\tau},$$

with $\tau < 2$ (see Lemma B.6 of [19]), we arrive at the following refinement of estimate (5.31) of [19]

$$||f(\hat{H})G_1(N+1)^{-1/2}|| \lesssim t^{-\alpha\tau}.$$

The remainder of the proof goes the same way as the proof of Theorem 5.1 of [19]. Hence $W_{\pm}^{\text{(mod)}}$ and therefore W_{\pm} exist.

Now, we comment on the proof of the key Theorem 5.4 of [19] in the QED case. It goes in the same way as for the phonon model (1.13)–(1.15) (see [19]), until the point where we have to show that $\|d\Gamma(b_{\epsilon}^2)^{1/2}P_{gs}\| = \mathcal{O}(t^{\kappa})$ in the present case. This estimate can be proven by using the generalized Pauli-Fierz transformation (2.2) together with (I.3), to obtain

$$\left\| d\Gamma(b_{\epsilon}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Phi_{\rm gs} \right\|^2 = \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\rm gs}, \left(d\Gamma(b_{\epsilon}^2) - \Phi(ib_{\epsilon}^2 q_x) + \frac{1}{2} \langle b_{\epsilon}^2 q_x, q_x \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \tilde{\Phi}_{\rm gs} \right\rangle, \tag{3.14}$$

where $\tilde{\Phi}_{gs} := \mathcal{U}\Phi_{gs}$. Using Lemma I.1 of Supplement I, (1.4) and the fact that $\tilde{\Phi}_{gs} \in D(N^{1/2})$, we can estimate the second term of the r.h.s. of (3.14) as

$$\left| \left\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}}, \Phi(ib_{\epsilon}^{2}q_{x}) \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}} \right\rangle \right| \leq \left\| \langle x \rangle^{3} \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}} \right\| \left\| \langle x \rangle^{-3} \Phi(ib_{\epsilon}^{2}q_{x}) (N+1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \left\| (N+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}} \right\| \lesssim t^{2\kappa}.$$

Likewise, $|\langle \tilde{\Phi}_{gs}, \langle b_{\epsilon}^2 q_x, q_x \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} \tilde{\Phi}_{gs} \rangle| \lesssim t^{2\kappa}$. To estimate the first term of the r.h.s. of (3.14), we write

$$\left\| \mathrm{d}\Gamma(b_{\epsilon}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}} \right\|^2 = \sum_{\lambda} \int \left\| b_{\epsilon} a_{\lambda}(k) \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}} \right\|^2 dk.$$

Applying the standard pull-through formula gives

$$a_{\lambda}(k)\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}} = -\left(\tilde{H} - E_{\mathrm{gs}} + |k|\right)^{-1} \left(\left(p + \tilde{A}(x)\right) \cdot \tilde{g}_{x}(k) + e_{x}(k)\right)\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{gs}}$$

We then easily conclude that $\|b_{\epsilon}a_{\lambda}(k)\tilde{\Phi}_{gs}\|_{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathcal{O}(t^{\kappa})$ in the same way as in Corollary C.4 of [19].

SUPPLEMENT I. PHOTON CREATION AND ANNIHILATION OPERATORS

Recall that the propagation speed of the light and the Planck constant divided by 2π are set equal to 1. We use the momentum representation and write functions from the space $\mathfrak{h} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ as $u(k, \lambda)$, where $k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the wave vector or momentum of the photon and $\lambda \in \{-1, +1\}$ is its polarization.

With each function $f \in \mathfrak{h}$, one associates creation and annihilation operators a(f) and $a^*(f)$ defined, for $u \in \otimes_s^n \mathfrak{h}$, as

$$a^*(f): u \to \sqrt{n+1} f \otimes_s u$$
 and $a(f): u \to \sqrt{n} \langle f, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$,

with $\langle f, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} := \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int dk \, \overline{f(k,\lambda)} u_n(k,\lambda,k_1,\lambda_1,\ldots,k_{n-1},\lambda_{n-1})$. They are unbounded, densely defined operators of $\Gamma(\mathfrak{h})$, adjoint of each other (with respect to the natural scalar product in \mathcal{F}) and satisfy the canonical commutation relations (CCR):

$$[a^{\#}(f), a^{\#}(g)] = 0, \qquad [a(f), a^{*}(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle,$$

where $a^{\#}=a$ or a^* . Since a(f) is anti-linear and $a^*(f)$ is linear in f, we write formally

$$a(f) = \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int dk \, \overline{f(k,\lambda)} a_{\lambda}(k), \qquad a^*(f) = \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int dk \, f(k,\lambda) a_{\lambda}^*(k),$$

for photons. Here $a_{\lambda}(k)$ and $a_{\lambda}^{*}(k)$ are unbounded, operator-valued distributions, which obey (again formally) the canonical commutation relations:

$$\left[a_{\lambda}^{\#}(k), a_{\lambda'}^{\#}(k')\right] = 0, \qquad \left[a_{\lambda}(k), a_{\lambda'}^{*}(k')\right] = \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \delta(k - k'),$$

where $a_{\lambda}^{\#} = a_{\lambda}$ or a_{λ}^{*} .

Given an operator τ acting on the one-particle space \mathfrak{h} , the operator $d\Gamma(\tau)$ defined on the Fock space \mathcal{F} by (1.2) can be written (formally) as $d\Gamma(\tau) := \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int dk \, a_{\lambda}^*(k) \tau a_{\lambda}(k)$. Here the operator τ acts on the (k,λ) -variable $d\Gamma(\tau)$ is the second quantization of τ). The precise meaning of the latter expression is (1.2). In particular, one can rewrite the quantum Hamiltonian H_f in terms of the creation and annihilation operators, a and a^* , as

$$H_f = \sum_{\lambda=1,2} \int dk \, a_{\lambda}^*(k) \omega(k) a_{\lambda}(k).$$

Commutators of two $d\Gamma$ operators reduces to commutators of the one-particle operators:

$$[\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\tau),\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\tau')]=\mathrm{d}\Gamma([\tau,\tau']).$$

Let τ be a one-photon self-adjoint operator. The following commutation relations involving the field operator $\Phi(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(a^*(f) + a(f))$ can be readily derived from the definitions of the operators involved:

$$\begin{split} [\Phi(f), \Phi(g)] &= i \operatorname{Im} \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ [\Phi(f), \mathrm{d}\Gamma(\tau)] &= i \Phi(i \tau f), \\ [\Gamma(\tau), \Phi(f)] &= \Gamma(\tau) a((1-\tau)f) - a^*((1-\tau)f)\Gamma(\tau). \end{split}$$

Exponentiating these relations, we obtain

$$e^{i\Phi(f)}\Phi(g)e^{-i\Phi(f)} = \Phi(g) - \operatorname{Im}\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, \tag{I.1}$$

$$e^{i\Phi(f)}d\Gamma(\tau)e^{-i\Phi(f)} = d\Gamma(\tau) - \Phi(i\tau f) + \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\langle \tau f, f \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$$
(I.2)

$$e^{i\Phi(f)}\Gamma(\tau)e^{-i\Phi(f)} = \Gamma(\tau) + \int_0^1 ds \, e^{is\Phi(f)}(\Gamma(\tau)a((1-\tau)f) - a^*((1-\tau)f)\Gamma(\tau))e^{-si\Phi(f)}. \tag{I.3}$$

Finally, we have the following standard estimates for annihilation and creation operators a(f) and $a^*(f)$, whose proof can be found, for instance, in [7], [32, Section 3], [38]:

Lemma I.1 For any $f \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that $\omega^{-\rho/2} f \in \mathfrak{h}$, the operators $a^{\#}(f)(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho})+1)^{-1/2}$, where $a^{\#}(f)$ stands for $a^{*}(f)$ or a(f), extend to bounded operators on \mathcal{H} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| a(f) (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho}) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \le \|\omega^{-\rho/2} f\|_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ & \left\| a^*(f) (\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho}) + 1)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \le \|\omega^{-\rho/2} f\|_{\mathfrak{h}} + \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}}. \end{aligned}$$

If, in addition, $g \in \mathfrak{h}$ is such that $\omega^{-\rho/2}g \in \mathfrak{h}$, the operators $a^{\#}(f)a^{\#}(g)(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho})+1)^{-1}$ extend to bounded operators on \mathcal{H} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| a(f)a(g)(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho}) + 1)^{-1} \right\| \leq \|\omega^{-\rho/2}f\|_{\mathfrak{h}} \|\omega^{-\rho/2}g\|_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ & \left\| a^{*}(f)a(g)(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho}) + 1)^{-1} \right\| \leq \left(\|\omega^{-\rho/2}f\|_{\mathfrak{h}} + \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \|\omega^{-\rho/2}g\|_{\mathfrak{h}}, \\ & \left\| a^{*}(f)a^{*}(g)(\mathrm{d}\Gamma(\omega^{\rho}) + 1)^{-1} \right\| \leq \left(\|\omega^{-\rho/2}f\|_{\mathfrak{h}} + \|f\|_{\mathfrak{h}} \right) \left(\|\omega^{-\rho/2}g\|_{\mathfrak{h}} + \|g\|_{\mathfrak{h}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] W. Amrein, Localizability for particles of mass zero, Helv. Phys. Acta 42 (1969), 149-190.
- [2] W. Amrein, A. Boutet de Monvel, and V. Georgescu, C₀-groups, commutator methods and spectral theory of N-body Hamiltonians, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 135, Birkhäuser Verlag, 1996.
- [3] A. Arai, A note on scattering theory in nonrelativistic quantum electrodynamics, J. Phys. A, 16, (1983), 49-69.
- [4] A. Arai, Long-time behavior of an electron interacting with a quantized radiation field, J. Math. Phys., 32, (1991), 2224–2242.
- [5] V. Bach, Mass renormalization in nonrelativisitic quantum electrodynamics, in Quantum Theory from Small to Large Scales, Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer Schools, volume 95. Oxford University Press, 2011.
- [6] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, and I.M. Sigal, Quantum electrodynamics of confined non-relativistic particles, Adv. in Math., 137, (1998), 205–298 and 299–395.
- [7] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, and I.M. Sigal, Spectral analysis for systems of atoms and molecules coupled to the quantized radiation field, Commun. Math. Phys., 207, (1999), 249–290.
- [8] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich, I.M. Sigal and A. Soffer, Positive commutators and spectrum of Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian of atoms and molecules, Commun. Math. Phys., 207, (1999), 557–587.
- [9] J.-F. Bony and J. Faupin, Resolvent smoothness and local decay at low energies for the standard model of non-relativistic QED, J. Funct. Anal. 262, (2012), 850–888.
- [10] J.-F. Bony, J. Faupin and I.M. Sigal, Maximal velocity of photons in non-relativistic QED, Adv. in Math., 231, (2012), 3054–3078.
- [11] D. Buchholz, Collision theory for massless bosons, Comm. Math. Phys., 52, (1977), 147–173.
- [12] T. Chen, J. Faupin, J. Fröhlich and I.M. Sigal, Local decay in non-relativistic QED, Commun. Math. Phys., 309, (2012), 543–583.
- [13] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Photons and atoms: introduction to quantum electrodynamics*, Wiley professional paperback series, Wiley, 1997.
- [14] W. De Roeck and A. Kupiainen, Approach to ground state and time-independent photon bound for massless spin-boson models, Ann. Henri Poincaré, DOI: 10.1007/s00023-012-0190-z, (2012).
- [15] J. Dereziński, Asymptotic completeness of long-range N-body quantum systems, Ann. of Math., (1993), 138, 427–476.
- [16] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, Scattering Theory of Classical and Quantum N-Particle Systems, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer (1997).
- [17] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, Asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory. Massive Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonians, Rev. Math. Phys., 11, (1999), 383–450.
- [18] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, Spectral and Scattering Theory of Spatially Cut-Off $P(\varphi)_2$ Hamiltonians, Comm. Math. Phys., 213, (2000), 39–125.
- [19] J. Faupin and I.M. Sigal, On quantum Huygens principle and Rayleigh scattering, arXiv (2012).
- [20] J. Faupin and I.M. Sigal, Comment on the photon number bound and Rayleigh scattering, arXiv (2012).
- [21] E. Fermi, Quantum theory of radiation, Rev. Mod. Phys. 4 (1932), no. 1, 87–132.
- [22] J. Fröhlich, On the infrared problem in a model of scalar electrons and massless, scalar bosons, Ann.Inst.Henri Poincar, 19(1), (1973), 1–103.
- [23] J. Fröhlich, Existence of dressed one electron states in a class of persistent models. Fortschr. Phys., 22, (1974), 159–198.
- [24] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and B. Schlein, Asymptotic electromagnetic fields in models of quantum-mechanical matter interacting with the quantized radiation field, Adv. in Math., 164, (2001), 349–398.
- [25] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and B. Schlein, Asymptotic completeness for Rayleigh scattering, Ann. Henri Poincaré, 3, (2002), 107–170.
- [26] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and B. Schlein, Asymptotic completeness for Compton scattering, Comm. Math. Phys., 252, (2004), 415–476.
- [27] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and B. Schlein, Rayleigh scattering at atoms with dynamical nuclei, Comm. Math. Phys., 271, (2007), 387–430.
- [28] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and I.M. Sigal, Spectral theory for the standard model of non-relativistic QED, Comm. Math. Phys., 283, (2008), 613–646.
- [29] J. Fröhlich, M. Griesemer and I.M. Sigal, Spectral renormalization group and limiting absorption principle for the standard model of non-relativistic QED, Rev. Math. Phys., 23, (2011), 179–209.
- [30] V. Georgescu and C. Gérard, On the virial theorem in quantum mechanics, Comm. Math. Phys. 208 (1999), no. 2, 275–281.
- [31] V. Georgescu, C. Gérard and J.S. Møller, Commutators, C₀-semigroups and resolvent estimates, J. Funct. Anal., 216, (2004), 303–361.

- [32] V. Georgescu, C. Gérard and J.S. Møller, Spectral theory of massless Pauli-Fierz models, Comm. Math. Phys., 249, (2004), 29–78
- [33] C. Gérard, On the scattering theory of massless Nelson models, Rev. Math. Phys., 14, (2002), 1165–1280.
- [34] G.-M. Graf and D. Schenker, Classical action and quantum N-body asymptotic completeness. In Multiparticle quantum scattering with applications to nuclear, atomic and molecular physics (Minneapolis, MN, 1995), pages 103–119, Springer, New York, 1997.
- [35] M. Griesemer, Exponential decay and ionization thresholds in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics, J. Funct. Anal., 210, (2004), 321–340.
- [36] M. Griesemer, E.H. Lieb and M. Loss, Ground states in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics, Invent. Math., 145, (2001), 557–595.
- [37] M. Griesemer and H. Zenk, Asymptotic electromagnetic fields in non-relativistic QED: the problem of existence revisited, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 354, (2009), 239–246.
- [38] S. Gustafson and I. M. Sigal, Mathematical concepts of quantum mechanics, Universitext, Second edition, Springer-Verlag, 2011.
- [39] D. Hasler and I. Herbst, On the self-adjointness and domain of Pauli-Fierz type Hamiltonians, Rev. Math. Phys. 20 (2008), no. 7, 787–800.
- [40] F. Hiroshima, Self-adjointness of the Pauli–Fierz Hamiltonian for arbitrary values of coupling constants, Ann. Henri Poincaré 3 (2002), no. 1, 171–201.
- [41] M. Hübner and H. Spohn, Radiative decay: nonperturbative approaches, Rev. Math. Phys., 7, (1995), 363–387.
- [42] W. Hunziker and I.M. Sigal, The quantum N-body problem, J. Math. Phys., 41, (2000), 3448-3510.
- [43] W. Hunziker, I.M. Sigal and A. Soffer, Minimal escape velocities, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 24, (1999), 2279–2295
- [44] J. M. Jauch and C. Piron, Generalized localizability, Helv. Phys. Acta 40 (1967), 559–570.
- [45] O. Keller, On the theory of spatial localization of photons, Phys. Rep. 411 (2005), no. 1-3, 1-232.
- [46] L. Landau and R. Peierls, Quantenelektrodynamik im Konfigurationsraum, Z. Phys. 62 (1930), 188–200.
- [47] E. Lieb and M. Loss, Existence of atoms and molecules in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7 (2003), no. 4, 667–710.
- [48] ______, A note on polarization vectors in quantum electrodynamics, Comm. Math. Phys. 252 (2004), no. 1-3, 477–483.
- [49] L. Mandel, Configuration-space photon number operators in quantum optics, Phys. Rev. 144 (1966), 1071–1077.
- [50] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Optical coherence and quantum optics, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [51] T. D. Newton and E. Wigner, Localized states for elementary systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 21 (1949), 400–406.
- [52] W. Pauli, Collected scientific papers, vol. 2, Interscience Publishers, 1964.
- [53] W. Pauli and M. Fierz, Zur Theorie der Emission langwelliger Lichtquanten, Il Nuovo Cimento 15 (1938), no. 3, 167–188.
- [54] S. Ruijsenaars, On Newton-Wigner localization and superluminal propagation speeds, Ann. Physics 137 (1981), no. 1, 33–43
- [55] I. M. Sigal, Ground state and resonances in the standard model of the non-relativistic QED, J. Stat. Phys. 134 (2009), no. 5-6, 899-939.
- [56] I. M. Sigal, Renormalization group and problem of radiation, Lecture Notes of Les Houches Summer School on "Quantum Theory From Small to Large Scales", vol. 95, 2012. arXiv. :1110.3841.
- [57] I.M. Sigal and A. Soffer, The N-particle scattering problem: asymptotic completeness for short-range quantum systems, Ann. of Math., 125, (1987), 35–108.
- [58] I.M. Sigal and A. Soffer, Local decay and propagation estimates for time dependent and time independent hamiltonians, preprint, Princeton University (1988).
- [59] I.M. Sigal and A. Soffer, A. Long-range many-body scattering. Asymptotic clustering for Coulomb-type potentials, Invent. Math., 99, (1990), 115–143.
- [60] E. Skibsted, Spectral analysis of N-body systems coupled to a bosonic field, Rev. Math. Phys., 10, (1998), 989-1026.
- [61] H. Spohn, Asymptotic completeness for Rayleigh scattering, J. Math. Phys., 38, (1997), 2281–2288.
- [62] H. Spohn, Dynamics of Charged Particles and their Radiation Field, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [63] D. Yafaev, Radiation conditions and scattering theory for N-particle Hamiltonians, Comm. Math. Phys., 154, (1993), 523-554.
- [64] A. Wightman, On the localizability of quantum mechanical systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 34 (1962), 845–872.
- (J. Faupin) Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, UMR-CNRS 5251, Université de Bordeaux 1, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb"jeremy.faupin@math.u-bordeaux1.fr"$

(I. M. Sigal) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ON M5S 2E4, CANADA E-mail address: im.sigal@utoronto.ca